

**Deborah Muse Carty  
19 Boxwood Road  
Piscataway, New Jersey 08854**

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
DEC 14 2006**

**fax transmission**

**Fax Number transmitted to: (571) 273-8300**

**TO: Examiner**

**OF: United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)**

**FROM: Deborah Muse-Carty**

**Patent Application of Deborah Muse Carty**

**Serial No. 10/803,624**

**DATE: December 14, 2006**

**MESSAGE: See attached**

**Total number of pages 14 \***

SN 10/372,422

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
DEC 14 2006

Deborah Muse Carty  
250 Stelton Road, Suite #1  
Piscataway, NJ 08854  
Pro Se

In re Patent Application  
of Deborah Muse Carty  
Serial No. 10/803,624

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

For: *Clothing Hanger Adapted for  
Personal Use*

Art Unit: 3765

Filing Date: 03/18/04

The Honorable Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Mail Stop: Fee Amendments  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT A WITH PETITION AND FEE FOR THREE MONTH  
EXTENSION TO FILE**

SN 10/372,422

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****DEC 14 2006****a. Introductory comments.**

This is a plenary amendment in response to a non-final Office Action dated June 15, 2006 and allowing a three month period for response without an extension petition and applicable fee. Based on telephone conversations with the Examiner, applicant is amending the application to cancel all claims and add new claim 11 reciting a structure and new method claim 12 reciting a method for using the structure reciting in claim 11. The specification and drawing were objected to for failing to recite reference numerals appearing in the drawings but not recited in the specification. Thus, the applicable specification paragraphs have been proposed for amendment to resolve these objections. More specifically, it is requested that the specification be amended to add the following reference characters in the description: 10, 14, 120, 122, 124, 126, 128.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to officially notice that the inventor is a pro se applicant representing herself and the application is not assigned. The inventor understands that the USPTO has an official policy that where a pro se inventor files a specification that describes patentable subject matter that includes an element not anticipated and nonobvious the Examiner may write and suggest an allowable claim to the novel invention described in the specification. Thus, the applicant hereby respectfully request that the Examiner suggest such a claim. Alternatively, it is believed that new claims 11, 12, and 13 are neither anticipated nor suggested by the prior cited, namely, Banco in view of Lam.

The other prior art of interest cited by the Examiner has been carefully reviewed. None of these patents either taken singly or in combination suggest the novelty of the

SN 10/372,422

combination of elements recited in new claims 11 and 13, and use of the structure presented by the inventor in claim 11.

If any of the new claims are not in proper form, as a pro se applicant, applicant requests that the Examiner in accordance with the Patent Office's procedures suggest a rewrite to reflect an allowable claim or claims.

The thorough search done by the Examiner and explanation of the rejection based on the new patents and how they were applied to the cancelled claims is very much appreciated by the inventor.